## Preparation and Biological Evaluation of $\gamma$ -Fluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone and $\gamma$ -butyrolactam

## Kouichi Murata, Sadanori Kaneko, and Tomoya Kitazume\*

Department of Bioengineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta, Midori-ku Yokohama 227, Japan

(Received in USA 17 August 1993; accepted 15 September 1993)

Abstract:  $\gamma$ -Difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 2 was readily prepared from the Reformatsky-type reaction of difluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal 1 and methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate in Zn-THF system, and  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactams 6 were also prepared from difluoroethanimine.  $\gamma$ -Difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 2 was found to possess comparable or even stronger in vitro antitumoral activity than the currently used 5-fluorouracil.

Since the discovery of the antitumoral activity of uracil with a fluorine,  $^1$  researches for the modification of molecules with fluorine(s), which produced profound and unexpected results on biological activity,  $^2$  have been extensive in recent years. Particularly, the difluoro-methyl group is preferred due to its ability to act as a hydrogen donor, allowing the possibility to interact with solvents and biological molecules. Recently, we have reported the biological activity of 4,4-difluorothreonine and its versatility as chiral building units with three easily differentiated functional groups. Herein, we report the synthesis of  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 2 and lactam 6 and their antitumoral activities.

Difluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal 1 acts as a difluoroacetaldehyde equivalent in Reformatsky-type reaction  $^5$  using the system of methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate and Zinc in THF to form  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone. After a survey of conditions, the reaction of hemiacetal 1 (1 equiv) with this Reformatsky-type reagent (2 equiv) in THF was found to afford lactone 2 in 58% yield. More than 2 equiv of the nucleophiles as well as, in some cases, 1 equiv of the Lewis acid per mole of the hemiacetal 1 were required. Obviously, 1 equiv of nucleophile or Lewis acid was consumed by the hydroxy group in difluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal 1, which generates the difluoroacetaldehyde in situ.

2686 K. Murata et al.

## Scheme 1

In contrast, trifluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal 3 failed to react with this Reformatsky-type reagent. The preparation of lactone 4 was achieved by the reaction of trifluoroacetaldehyde generated from hemiacetal 3 with this Reformatsky-type reagent. <sup>7</sup>

The synthesis of  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactams  $6,^8$  which have been receiving increasing attention because of their properties as suicide inhibitors,  $^{1,2}$  has been explored via the reaction of difluoroethanimine 5 with methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate in the presence of Zinc. Diastereomeric mixture was separated by column chromatography on silica gel. However, trifluoroethanimine did not undergo the Reformatsky-type reaction.

For each of those lactones and lactam, the *in vitro* growth inhibitory action towards tumor cell lines was determined. The reported  $IC_{50}$  values represent the concentration of inhibitor producing 50 percent inhibition of cell growth. Table I gathers the results for racemic  $\gamma$ difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ butyrolactone 2 with the established antimetabolite, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), as a reference. A comparison of  $IC_{50}$  values demonstrated the potential of this difluorinated lactone as an antitumoral substance, which interestingly

showed more effective nature than 5-FU in inhibition of cell growth of human cancer or melanoma cells. However,  $\gamma$ -trifluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 4 and  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactam 6 retained low to zero activities.

Table I	Effect of Racemic γ-lactone (2) on the Growth of
	Various Tumor Cell in vitro a

Cell Line	$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	
	γ-lactone (2)	5-FU
L1210/c <sup>b</sup>	3	0.2
CCRF CEM°	4	4
T24 <sup>d</sup>	0.5	6
P36 <sup>e</sup>	2	11
SW480 <sup>f</sup>	4	6

- a) Each tumor cell line (1x10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) was incubated in the presence or absence of compound for 72 h. Then, MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2*H*-tetrazolium bromide) was added for OD<sup>578-588</sup> measurements. IC<sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ g/mL) was given as the concentration at 50% inhibition of cell growth. % Inhibition = {1-(OD<sup>578-588</sup> of sample well) / (OD<sup>578-588</sup> of control well)} x 100.
- b) Mouse leukemia. c) Human leukemia. d) Human cancer.
- e) Human melanoma. f) Human cancer.

## References

- 1) Biomedicinal Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry, (Eds.) Filler, R.; Kobayashi, Y. Kodansha & Elsevier Biomedical, Tokyo, 1982.
  - 2) For a review, see. Welch, J. T. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3123.
- 3) (a) Kollonitsch, J. Isr. J. Chem. 1978, 38, 357. (b) Bey, P.; Gerhart, F.; Dorsselaer, V. V.; Danzin, C. J. Med. Chem. 1983, 26, 1551. (c) Casara, P. J.; Kenny, M. T.; Jund, K. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3823. (d) Kaneko, S.; Yamazaki, T.; Kitazume, T. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2302 and references cited therein.
  - 4) Yamazaki, T.; Haga, J.; Kitazume, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1, 271.
  - 5) For a review, see. Fürster, A. Synthesis 1989, 571.
- 6) Preparation of  $\gamma$ -difluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 2 [5-difluoromethyl-4,5-dihydro-3-methylene-2(3H)furanone]. To a suspension of Zn powder (4.15 g) in freshly dried tetrahydrofuran (60 ml), the mixture of difluoroacetaldehyde ethyl hemiacetal 1 (1.74 g, 13.5

2688 K. Murata et al.

mmol) and methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate (4.96 g, 27 mmol) in THF at 0 °C under an atmosphere of nitrogen. After 4 hrs of stirring at room temperature, the mixture was quenched with 1N HCl (120 ml) and then oily materials were extracted with diethyl ether (30 ml x 3). The extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Removal of the solvent and flash chromatography using the mixture of n-hexane-ethyl acetate (3; 1) gave 2 in 58% yield: Physical properties of 2: Rf 0.25 (n-hexane: AcOEt = 2:1),  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.05 (1 H, dt,  $^{1}$ J H, H = 2.7, 5.5 Hz), 3.07 (1 H, t,  $^{1}$ J H, H = 2.9 Hz), 4.67 (1 H, m), 5.76 (1 H, t,  $^{1}$ J H, H = 2.6 Hz), 5.85 (1 H, ddd,  $^{1}$ J H, H = 2.7 Hz,  $^{1}$ J H, F = 54.0, 56.1 Hz), 6.32 (1 H, t,  $^{1}$ J H, H = 2.9 Hz).  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.00 (dd,  $^{1}$ J = 2.7, 4.7 Hz), 73.18 (dd,  $^{1}$ J = 25.7, 30.0 Hz), 110.8 (dd,  $^{1}$ J = 243, 246 Hz), 123.9, 131.6, 168,9.  $^{19}$ F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  33.82 (ddd,  $^{1}$ J F, H = 16.8, 56.5 Hz,  $^{1}$ J F, F = 296 Hz), 39.55 (ddd,  $^{1}$ J F, H = 5.7, 53.8 Hz,  $^{1}$ J F, F = 296 Hz). IR (neat)  $\delta$  1780 cm<sup>-1</sup>; high-resolution mass calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (MH)+149.0414, found 149.0421.

7) Physical properties of  $\gamma$ Trifluoromethyl- $\alpha$ -methylene- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone 4 : Rf 0.23 (n-hexane:AcOEt = 3:1), yield 70%;  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.04 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 4.7, 18.0, 2.7 Hz), 3.22 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 8.8, 18.0, 2.9 Hz), 4.82 (1 H, ddq,  $J_{H,H}$  = 4.7, 8.8, 6.2 Hz), 5.83 (1 H, t,  $J_{H,H}$  = 2.6 Hz), 6.38 (1 H, t,  $J_{H,H}$  = 2.9 Hz).  $^{13}$ C NMR  $\delta$  3.05  $^{19}$ F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  81.80 (d,  $J_{F,H}$  = 6.1 Hz). IR (neat)  $\gamma$  1780 cm<sup>-1</sup>; high-resolution mass calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (M)+ 166.0242, found 166.0234.

8) To a suspension of Zn (0.23 g) in tetrahydrofuran (5 ml), the mixture of  $(\alpha R)$ -N-(2,2difluoroethylidene)methylbenzylamine 5 (0.37 g, 2 mmol) and methyl 2-(bromomethyl)acrylate (0.36 g, 2 mmol) in THF at 0 °C under an atmosphere of nitrogen. After 4 hrs of refluxing, worked up similarly, giving 6a and 6b in 84% yield: Physical properties of 6a: Rf 0.19 (n-hexane:AcOEt = 3:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ -106.6 (c 1.04, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.66 (3 H, d,  $J_{H,H}$  = 7.2 Hz),  $2.68 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ddt}, J_{\text{H},\text{H}} = 8.5, 17.5, 2.9 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $2.84 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ddt}, J_{\text{H},\text{H}} = 2.4, 17.2, 2.9 \text{ Hz})$ , 3.48 (1 H, H,H, dddt,  $J_{H,H} = 5.9$ , 8.6, 18.7, 2.7 Hz), 5.42 (1 H, ddd,  $J_{H,H} = 0.6$ , 2.0, 2.8 Hz), 5.57 (1 H, q,  $J_{H,H}$ = 7.3 Hz),  $5.74 \text{ (1 H, ddd, } J_{\text{H,H}} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{\text{H,F}} = 54.7$ , 56.7 Hz),  $6.09 \text{ (1 H, ddd, } J_{\text{H,H}} = 0.7$ , 2.6, 3.3 Hz), 7.28-7.45 (5 H, m).  $^{13}$ C NMR  $\delta$  17.72 (t, J = 1.7 Hz), 24.92 (dd, J = 3.6, 5.2 Hz), 51.75 (s),  $54.89 \, (dd, J = 23.4, 28.0 \, Hz), 114.18 \, (dd, J = 244, 248 \, Hz), 116.63 \, (s), 127.62 \, (s), 128.09 \, (s), 1$ 128.91 (s), 137.50 (s), 138.35 (s), 168.61 (s). 19F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  30.10 (ddd,  $J_{F,H} = 18.3, 56.5$ Hz,  $J_{F,F} = 287$  Hz), 36.76 (ddd,  $J_{F,H} = 5.3$ , 54.2 Hz,  $J_{F,F} = 287$  Hz). IR (neat)  $\gamma 1780$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; highresolution mass calcd for C14H16NOF2 (MH)+ 252.1200, found 252.1208: Physical properties of **6b** :  $R_f 0.14$  (n-hexane:AcOEt = 3:1). [ $\alpha$ ] $D^{20}$  -156.7 (c 0.75, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.70 (3 H, d,  $J_{H,H}$  = 7.2 Hz), 2.79 (1 H, ddddd,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$  = 0.5, 2.8, 3.3, 8.9, 17.5 Hz), 2.90 (1 H, ddt,  $J_{H,H}$ 2.1, 17.5, 2.3 Hz),  $3.93 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dddt}, J_{\text{H},\text{H}} = 3.9, 8.8, 20.9, 2.2 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $4.91 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ddd}, J_{\text{H},\text{H}} = 2.2 \text{ Hz})$ ,  $J_{H,F} = 55.1, 56.3 \text{ Hz}, 5.43 (1 \text{ H, ddd}, J_{H,H} = 0.6, 2.0, 2.8 \text{ Hz}), 5.60 (1 \text{ H, q}, J_{H,H} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}), 6.08$  $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ddd}, J_{\text{H},\text{H}} = 0.6, 2.3, 3.3 \text{ Hz}), 7.30-7.40 (5 \text{ H}, \text{m}). \ ^{13}\text{C NMR} \delta 15.96 (s), 24.63 (dd, <math>J = 3.2$ , 5.6 Hz), 50.59 (s), 54.60 (dd, J = 23.5, 29.8 Hz), 113.26 (dd, J = 243, 249 Hz), 116.56 (s), 127.21 (s), 128.05 (s), 128.76 (s), 137.66 (s), 140.37 (s), 168.60 (d, J = 1.5 Hz). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  30.10  $(ddd, J_{F,H} = 18.3, 56.5 \text{ Hz}, J_{F,F} = 287 \text{ Hz}), 36.76 (ddd, J_{F,H} = 5.3, 54.2 \text{ Hz}, J_{F,F} = 287 \text{ Hz}).$